

07.85.06

REPO - 12

GERENCIA DE PROTECCION RADIOLOGICA
Y SEGURIDAD

REPO-12

C.N.E.A. Biblioteca

ARCHIVO PUBLICACIONES

Nº

2

AÑO

1985

CNEA-NT 41/85

NUMERICAL ASPECTS OF THE STUDY
OF THE REGIONAL THERMAL IMPACT
OF A RADIOACTIVE WASTE REPOSITORY

Ferreri, J.C.*; Ventura, M.



COMISION NACIONAL DE ENERGIA ATOMICA
BUENOS AIRES - ARGENTINA

1985

REPUBLICA ARGENTINA
COMISION NACIONAL DE ENERGIA ATOMICA
Dependiente de la Presidencia de la Nación
GERENCIA DE PROTECCION RADIOLOGICA Y SEGURIDAD*

REPO-12	C.N.E.A. Biblioteca		CNEA-NT 41/85
	ARCHIVO PUBLICACIONES		
	Nº 2	AÑO 1985	

NUMERICAL ASPECTS OF THE STUDY
OF THE REGIONAL THERMAL IMPACT
OF A RADIOACTIVE WASTE REPOSITORY

Ferreri, J.C.*; Ventura, M.

Publicado en Nuclear Engineering Design, vol. 86: 253-265,
1985, n° 2.

* Miembro de la Carrera del Investigador del CONICET.

* Casilla de Correo 40
1802 AEROPUERTO DE EZEIZA, Argentina

Buenos Aires
1985

INIS CLASSIFICATION AND KEYWORDS

E52.00

COMPUTERIZED SIMULATION
HIGH-LEVEL RADIOACTIVE WASTES
RADIOACTIVE WASTE DISPOSAL
REPOSITORIES (*)
THERMAL CONDUCTION
UNDERGROUND DISPOSAL
WASTE HEAT

(*) Not shown in INIS Thesaurus 1985.

NUMERICAL ASPECTS OF THE STUDY OF THE REGIONAL THERMAL IMPACT OF A RADIOACTIVE WASTE REPOSITORY

J. C. Ferreri* and M. A. Ventura*
Comisión Nacional de Energía Atómica
Av. del Libertador 8250
1429 Buenos Aires, Argentina

ABSTRACT

Numerical results are obtained for the regional thermal history of a radioactive waste repository. For such purpose, an alternating direction implicit method has been used, with special treatment of singularities in heat generation, with a variable grid calculation. The results obtained are in accordance with previous results in calibration cases. These results show that the technique developed constitutes a valuable tool for the handling of problems as the one under consideration. Its application is exemplified by means of a case with a typical geometrical configuration and thermal load.

1. INTRODUCTION

The numerical modelling of the thermal history of a granitic mass under thermal loads produced by fission by-products, further on called "the repository", brings along interesting difficulties. In order to understand them, we only need to consider the scales associated with the integration domain and those belonging to the heat sources. So, the overall spatial scales in the area influenced by the repository are in the order of several kilometers and the time scale of the same is in the order of thousands of years. On the other hand, the scales corresponding with the sources are in the order of one meter and of hundreds of years, the latter being due to radioactive decay. Figure 1 illustrates a typical geometry, Palacios et al. (1983).

Aimed at studies that will follow this paper, consisting in predicting the water flow and the diffusion of radionuclides toward the surface, consideration must be given to the three-dimensional computational grid (30). This fact, along with the various scales mentioned above, leads to the consideration of heat generation singularities in the heart of the rock if a reasonable number of calculation points (in the order of 2,000) is desired.

Plenty of literature is now available on semi-analytical and numerical studies for cases similar to the one discussed herewith. Among the former, based on the analysis of the thermal problem involving the exclusive use of singularities for the approximate description of the problem, we may quote papers produced by Tin Chan et al. (1978), Kays (1980) and Wang et al. (1979). Among the latter, we may quote works by Clairborne et al. (1979), anonymous (1976), Ventura and Ferreri (1982 a,b) and Altehofen (1981).

* Members of the Carrera del Investigador Científico of the CONICET, Argentina.

Ratigan (1977), Thunvik (1980) and Rae and Robinson (1979) described calibration work aimed at validating the results obtained for finite elements in the case of heat conduction and liquid movement. In these cases, the number of nodes involved for the calculations is in the order of 400 for plane symmetry, which allows to assume an order of 16,000 nodes for the non-symmetrical 3D case.

Considering the need to maintain the CPU time necessary for simulation within a given margin, in turn implying the reduction of nodes to the minimum possible number while keeping results accurate, it is important to consider a technique that deals adequately with the presence of the heat sources. As it has already been discussed, these sources may be considered as singularities and, for this case, a work by Emery (1973) deserves being taken into account as a technique of interest. Some details of this technique may be found in Appendix A and have only been included for the sake of clarification, since the original technique is employed in a broader sense. Conceptually, this implies considering the local contribution of singularities as a source distributed over the grid, thus discarding the usual difficulty associated with the accuracy of discrete schemes around the almost punctual sources located in isolated points of the grid.

This paper shows the results obtained from associating a generalization of Emery's technique (1973) with an alternating direction implicit scheme (ADI) of the D'Yakonov's type (see Marchuk, 1975) in cases of interest, applied to the problem of predicting the thermal history of a repository.

The following paragraphs will serve to illustrate the technique developed, the calibration cases and the results obtained for a typical case relating with the projected repository. The results of the complete study, including a parametric study of the repository, will be considered separately from this paper.

2. THE NUMERICAL TECHNIQUE

As it may be seen in Appendix A, the solution of the problem:

$$\frac{\partial T}{\partial t} = \alpha \nabla^2 T + \sum_i q_i \quad (1)$$

where T is the local temperature, α is the thermal diffusivity of the rock, t is time and q_i represents i singularities distributed in the heart of the rock, may be obtained from the solution of the problem:

$$\frac{\partial T}{\partial t} = \alpha \nabla^2 T + \sum_i \left\{ \frac{\partial S_i}{\partial t} - \alpha \nabla^2 S_i \right\} \quad (2)$$

where S_i is known, corresponding to the solution of the problem:

$$\frac{\partial S_i}{\partial t} = \alpha \nabla^2 S_i + q_i$$

in the vicinity of singularity.

In discrete terms, equation (2) must be written as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \prod_{i=1}^3 \left\{ 1 - \frac{\Delta t}{2} \alpha \delta_{xi}^2 \right\} T_p^{n+1} = \\
 & = \prod_{i=1}^3 \left\{ 1 - \frac{\Delta t}{2} \alpha \delta_{xi}^2 \right\} T_p^n + \\
 & + \sum_i \left\{ S_p^{n+1} - S_p^n - \frac{\Delta t \alpha}{2} (\nabla_h^2 S_p^{n+1} + \nabla_h^2 S_p^n) \right\} \equiv TI, \quad (3)
 \end{aligned}$$

where P indicates a generic point in the grid P(x,y,z), n + 1 is an indication of time t = (n + 1) Δt and subindex h indicates a discrete form of the Laplacian, taken to be the same that the one considered for ∇²T.

Since the terms involved in the variation of S may be considered as data and are obtained as shown in the following paragraph, equation (3) is directly treatable as from an ADI scheme like the one considered by Ventura et al. (1978), valid for the quasi-linear problem. In this case, however, it was convenient to modify it in order to consider a grid varying in space. The algebraic details are somewhat tedious and only final results are being included herewith.

When an ADI process is applied, equation (3) appears as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left(1 - \frac{\Delta t}{2} \alpha \delta_x^2 \right) T_p^* = TI \\
 & \left(1 - \frac{\Delta t}{2} \alpha \delta_y^2 \right) T_p^{**} = T_p^* \\
 & \left(1 - \frac{\Delta t}{2} \alpha \delta_z^2 \right) T_p^{n+1} = T_p^{**}, \quad (4)
 \end{aligned}$$

The consideration of a variable grid does only imply altering the form of the coefficients in the tridiagonal expression corresponding to the left member in equations 4 and to TI. Thus, if one of the equations is:

$$A^- T_{i-1} + A T_i + A^+ T_{i+1},$$

then, the coefficients are expressed as follows:

$$A^- = - \Delta t \alpha / h_1 (h_1 + h_2)$$

$$A = 1 - \Delta t \alpha / h_1 h_2$$

$$A^+ = - \Delta t \alpha / h_2 (h_1 + h_2)$$

In the previous expressions $h_2 = x_{i+1} - x_i$ and $h_1 = x_i - x_{i-1}$. It must be taken into account that the accuracy of the results degrades if h_1 and h_2 are variable and that this must be considered in the evaluation of the same.

The quasi-linear case must be treated in the same way. In this case the algorithm shown in Ventura et al. (1978) may be applied, with an adequate evaluation of the coefficients varying in space.

Some considerations must be made here concerning the code developed. This represents a highly compact algorithm in which the successive steps of the ADI are obtained through the permutation of subindexes. This, along with a library sub-routine for solving tridiagonal systems in linear equations, allowed for obtaining the results along with a great computational efficiency. The source terms, see paragraph 3, were obtained in some cases from modules separated from the main code.

3. ANALYSIS OF CERTAIN SINGULARITIES

As it was previously mentioned, the technique applied calls for knowledge of the analytical solution to certain singularities that are representative of the case under analysis. Three singularities are usually found in the cases under study: point sources, finite-length linear sources and plane sources.

The point source is representative of a container, as if the latter were isolated in the rock, since it should not be ignored that it is small if compared with the geometrical scale of the repository. It may be argued that each container may be a source with finite length and radius. However this would imply considering 3000 isolated sources for a typical case, thus discarding the possibilities of application, as it will be seen further on in this report. The finite-length linear source is of interest, since it represents a gallery of containers, and a plane source would be of interest if a continuous power distribution had to be simulated.

The integration of the heat transfer equation resulting from the presence of specific features has been described in classical works, such as Carslaw & Jaeger's (1959), although it has only recently been applied to cases as the one analyzed herewith.

Thus, equation

$$\frac{\partial S}{\partial t} = \alpha \nabla^2 S, \quad (5)$$

when there is an instantaneous release of a given amount of heat, Q , at a point of coordinates $x' y' z'$, is solved as follows:

$$S = \frac{Q}{4(\pi \alpha t)^{3/2}} \exp \left\{ -(x-x')^2 + (y-y')^2 + (z-z')^2 / 4\alpha t \right\}$$

In the case of a point source in an infinite media, the temperature at a point of coordinates x, y, z , at time t , is obtained by integrating the previous equation, giving:

$$S_p = \frac{Q_p}{4\pi K r} \operatorname{erfc} \left\{ r / \sqrt{4\alpha t} \right\} \quad (6)$$

where Q_p is the power of the point source, independent from time; K is the conductivity in the media; and r is the distance between the point under consideration and the source; besides, erfc is the complementary error function. This result cannot be applied if the source decays with time. However, it may be useful when accuracy is studied for the technique introduced herewith.

When the source is linear and independent from time, the non-stationary solution for large values of t (for all practical purposes, the values of t are in the order of several years) is:

$$S_l = \frac{Q_l}{4\pi K} \left\{ \ln \left(\frac{4\alpha t}{r^2} \right) - \gamma \right\},$$

where Q_l is the linear power density and γ is Euler's constant ($\cong 0.577$).

The steady solution takes the following form:

$$S_l = \frac{Q_l}{2\pi K} \ln (r/L)$$

where L is a constant of characteristic length.

When sources are variable with time, the solution may be obtained by means of numerical techniques. This is still true for very simple cases, such as the ones shown above, although for the latter the techniques shown herewith are economical as far as computational costs are concerned.

Beyerlein and Claiborne (1979) summarized a set of equations for sources of interest for the case in which source decay may be shown as:

$$Q = Q_0 A \exp (-\lambda t)$$

In this case, the solution corresponding with the point source is:

$$S_p = \frac{A}{4\pi K} e^{-r^2/4\alpha t} \cdot R(\lambda_1 t, r/\sqrt{4\alpha t}), \quad (7a)$$

where R is the real part of the complex-argument error function, defined as:

$$W(c) = e^{-c^2} \left\{ 1 + \frac{2i}{\pi} \int_0^c e^{-t^2} dt \right\} \equiv e^{-c^2} \cdot \operatorname{erfc}(-ic),$$

For the case of a plane source, the solution is:

$$S_{PL} = \frac{A}{2K} \frac{\alpha}{\lambda} e^{-z^2/4\alpha t} \cdot J(\sqrt{\lambda t}, z/\sqrt{4\alpha t}) \quad (7b)$$

where J is the imaginary part of $W(c)$ and where, additionally, it has been assumed that the source is located at the origin of the coordinates ($z = 0$).

When the sources have a finite length, the solutions may be obtained from numerical quadratures, even in the case of a constant power.

The equation corresponding with a $2L$ -long finite source parallel to the x axis is

$$S_1 = \int_0^t \frac{Q(t')}{8\pi\alpha} \left\{ \operatorname{erfc} \left(\frac{x+L}{\sqrt{4\alpha(t-t')}} \right) - \operatorname{erfc} \left(\frac{x-L}{\sqrt{4\alpha(t-t')}} \right) \right\} \cdot e^{-\left\{ (y-y')^2 + (z-z')^2 / 4\alpha(t-t') \right\}} \frac{dt}{(t-t')}, \quad (8)$$

as shown by Tin Chan et al. (1978). Since the subintegrand of this equation has some special features, it may be subdivided into areas, as shown in an anonymous paper dated 1980, although experience has later shown that this was unnecessary.

A similar treatment may be applied to the case of a plane source with finite dimensions. In this case equation (8) would become:

$$S_{PL}(x, y, z, t) = \frac{\alpha}{8K\sqrt{\alpha\pi}} \int_0^t \frac{Q_p(t-\mu)}{\mu} F_1 F_2 e^{-z^2/4\alpha\mu} d\mu \quad (9)$$

with

$$F_1 = \operatorname{erf} \left(\frac{x+M}{2\sqrt{\alpha\mu}} \right) - \operatorname{erf} \left(\frac{x-M}{2\sqrt{\alpha\mu}} \right) \quad (10a)$$

and:

$$F_2 = \operatorname{erf} \left(\frac{y+N}{2\sqrt{\alpha\mu}} \right) - \operatorname{erf} \left(\frac{y-N}{2\sqrt{\alpha\mu}} \right) \quad (10b)$$

where $\mu = t - t'$.

Details on this solution cannot be found in bibliography. Therefore, the complete results will be considered separately.

While the equations shown refer to an infinite media, interest in this case is centered upon a semi-infinite media. This may be solved by means of the image method, which is valid for the linear case.

The details of the calculations performed are shown further on in this paper.

4. PRELIMINARY NUMERICAL STUDIES

In order to attain a proper idea of the accuracy of the results, some studies and comparisons with previous results were performed so as to verify the influence of the boundary conditions upon the same.

Unless stated, the values of the transport parameters were representative of those encountered in a typical granite mass, i.e., $K = 2.58 \text{ kcal/m/h/}^\circ\text{C}$, $\rho C = 520 \text{ kcal/m}^3/^\circ\text{C}$. The heat source was also representative of a typical thermal load (Palacios et al., 1983), namely 430 kcal/h .

For almost every case, the geothermal gradient was considered as imposed, resulting in $T_S = 15.3^\circ\text{C}$ for $z = 500 \text{ m}$ and in $T_0 = 32^\circ\text{C}$ for $z = 0$. The influence of the flux-type boundary condition was found to be negligible by Ratigan (1977) for the usual values of the heat transfer coefficients and, therefore, it will not be considered further on. The imposed geothermal gradient was $1^\circ\text{C}/30\text{m}$.

Figure 2 shows three integration domains, R_i , $i = 1, 2, 3$. Domain R_1 is representative of that previously considered by the authors (1982), consisting in a unit cell with a container. Domain R_2 represents the case considered by Ratigan (1977) and later by Rae and Robinson (1979). Domain R_3 represents an idealization of the thermal load (rectangle ABCD in Figure 1) and of the surrounding media for a case of our interest, Palacios et al. (1983), which will be discussed further on in this paper.

The various versions of the program developed allowed for a correct treatment of some problems of interest. Almost all cases were considered as 3D, under adequate boundary conditions and ad hoc sources, so as to simulate 2D cases (domain R_2).

Let us first consider the previous results obtained with domain R_1 . One of the developed versions allowed for considering constant-spacing areas that partially represented the phenomenon, with a steady point source. This has been illustrated in Figure 3 for plane $x = 0$. For the sake of clarity, the figure shows the symmetric source that allows for a representation of the surface.

The results obtained from different versions of the code using variable and constant grids were compared with each other and the results of the comparison are shown in Figure 4. The shaded zone of Figure 3 was divided into a regular grid of $10 \times 10 \times 20$ points in the x, y, z directions, respectively. The width of the zone was 20 m along x and y , and the height of the integration sub-domain (ZP) was varied to consider the influence of boundary conditions as time elapsed. The boundary conditions were adiabatic in the vertical walls and implied fixed temperatures (coincident with the geothermal distribution) at the top and at the bottom. The curve resulting from $ZP = 120 \text{ m}$ and from a uniform distribution of 20 points demonstrated that it was not convenient to work with portions of the area of interest, since -as time went by- there was an increase of the influence of the repository upon temperature distribution, involving larger and larger areas of the rock mass. For $t < 50$ years, the height $ZP = 300 \text{ m}$ was safe, as a boundary condition. Another set of calculations was performed employing a graded mesh defined by $Z(i) = 2 ZP \left(\frac{(i-1) ZP}{19} - \frac{ZP}{2} \right)^2 \text{ sign}(k-11)$ and $ZP = 1,000 \text{ m}$. This mesh avoids the origin where the steady point source is located and varies parabolically with the

distance to the origin. The results so obtained are shown as circles in Figure 4 and served to check the sensitivity of the codes with respect to grid variations. Calculations were performed with $\Delta t \approx 0.25$ year.

Comparisons were made for a one-dimensional case with spherical symmetry involving a point source. The case was treated as Cartesian, as from transformation $T' = T r$, where T' is the "temperature" in the Cartesian case. The geometrical dimensions and the physical features of the source, as well as the materials, corresponded to the actual case and are shown in Figure 5. The source was constant in time, selecting $\Delta t = 0.5$ year, and the integration was extended to 10^4 years. The grid was variable, with 10 points on the r axis located at $r = 5, 15, 30, 60, 130, 200, 350, 500, 750$ and $1,000$ m. The results for $t = 50$ and 10^4 years are shown in the same figure. Errors, with respect to equation (7a) are shown on the right side of Figure 5 and, for $t = 10^4$ years, they did not surpass 0.5% in the areas of interest. Naturally, errors increased up to 2%, as T decreased to $5 \cdot 10^{-2}$.

The geometry shown in Figure 2b was used for comparison between our results and those obtained by Ratigan (1977). The continuous source of such reference was simulated for cases with and without decay. The latter case was included among those considered by Rae and Robinson (1979).

Both references show calibration results against a one-dimensional case involving the consideration of a continuous plane source. The source is finite in both cases and the results were compared, for control purposes, with those obtained from the infinite source. Results were obtained employing a graded grid with $12 \times 12 \times 20$ points and $\Delta t = 0.5$ year. This grid simulated the corresponding one in Ratigan's work (1977), whose results approximate the temperature at the repository plane, as indicated in Figure 6, where the results of the analytical solution (equation 7b) are also included. The circles serve to indicate the results obtained from the technique considered herewith and are consistent with the one-dimensional approach under analysis. Rae and Robinson (1979) showed a slightly better comparison than Ratigan between their results and the analytical ones.

Figure 7 shows, for plane $z = 0$, a comparison between our results and those obtained by Rae and Robinson (1979) for the source with decay and under a one-dimensional approach. As it may be seen, this is an excellent comparison and there is coherence with what is shown in Figure 5. The same grid and time step as before were used.

Comparisons were also performed with previous results obtained by the authors for the case of the domain shown in Figure 2a. In this case, two types of sources were considered, corresponding to a point source and to a finite linear source. In both cases, the boundary conditions coincided with those previously shown by the authors (1982); that is, they were adiabatic at the vertical walls and the temperature was constant at the earth surface and at 2,000 m deep.

Figure 8 shows the comparison between the results obtained by the Heating 5 code, see Turner et al. (1977), and by the technique introduced herewith for three points of interest, representatives of a pillar between galleries, of the center between two containers and of a point on a container axis. Only five nodes were considered as per directions x and y , while 20 nodes were considered along z . The time step was 0.5 year. The calculations were per-

formed without considering the symmetry of the system and using the results of Heating 5 (shown as H5 in Figure 8) as a reference. Differences hardly went over 10%, tending to decrease with time, and stabilize at 5% as a whole. If the discretization used is taken into account, the results compare excellently with those considered as basic (H5). On the other hand, the differences in the composition of the media, that were considered in the base case, were disregarded in our model. The codes developed showed almost the same performance when consideration was given to the so-called "grind time" (i.e., CPU time/number of cells/number of time steps). In fact, this time was 0.0067 and 0.0059 sec/cell/time step for the H5 calculations and for our calculations, respectively, (12% gain) with the point source in an IBM 370/158 computer. Furthermore, we can increase grid dimensions (and, correspondingly, the time steps) without any significant loss of accuracy, thus allowing accurate calculations in large regions. The authors' idea is not to consider these programs as "superior" than Heating 5. The intention is showing that it is possible to use a particular technique advantageously in this case.

As a last verification step and considering the need to acquire some experience on the simulation of continuous sources, the two-dimensional configuration issued by Ratigan (1977) was represented, considering 51 linear sources (and their images). The sources were considered to have a length equal to that of the integration domain in the sense x (see Figure 2b), that is 1,500 m, and were separated at a distance, L_f , of 10 m in order to cover 500 m as indicated by Ratigan (1977). The rest of the dimensions were adjusted as shown in Figure 2b. The number of nodes, as per coordinate y , was 10 (half of those in the quoted reference).

Results were simulated as if corresponding to sources without decay (shown by Rae and Robinson, 1979), as well as for cases with radioactive decay, both with the same programme.

Figure 9 shows an excellent comparison with the results obtained by Rae and Robinson (1979) for the case without decay.

Figure 10 is a comparison for the case of sources with decay. This was only performed for a period of ten years, with $\Delta t = 0.5$ year, since the computational cost was considered as excessive for a test. The comparison is also good and Figure 11 shows a temperature profile along the repository plane. The difference with the previous data does not exceed 3% and the authors believe it is due to the small local differences in modelling the sources.

The results shown above indicate that the technique developed is attractive and reliable, while comparable with those in the consulted literature. This is coherent with the indications made by Emery (1973) for simpler cases.

5. APPLICATION IN A TYPICAL REPOSITORY

A basic study case of the repository consists in a geometry as that indicated in Figure 2c. L_x and L_y are selected in such a way that the total number of containers (N_c), estimated in 3,000, may be installed in galleries running at $D_p = 20$ m from each other and at $D_c = 5$ m from each other. Considering a total of 29 rows of containers, with a total length $L_x \approx 520$ m, 3,046 containers may be lodged in a plant of 0.29 km^2 . This implies a thermal load of $5,200 \text{ KW/Km}^2$ (5.2 W/m^2), if each container has an initial power of 500 W.

Results were obtained for a case of sources with decay for a law of the type

$$Q = Q_0 \exp (-2.28 \text{ E-6 } t)$$

where t is given in hours. This variation is an approximation to the decay of wastes resulting from the reprocessing of nuclear fuel.

The results were obtained by means of a coarse grid, with $8 \times 8 \times 14$ nodes in directions x , y and z respectively. As per the considerations made in the previous section, this grid ensures a reasonable accuracy in the results. Besides, consideration was given to the symmetry of the system. Calculations were performed with $\Delta t \approx 0.5$ year.

Figure 12 shows the temperature time evolution at the repository axis ($x = 0$, $y = 0$, z), while Figure 13 shows the temperature variation for $z = 1$ m and $x = 0$. The variation along x looks similar.

Simulations were also performed for results from a finite plane source equivalent to the repository. The results may be seen in Figures 14 and 15, showing identical tendencies as those in Figures 12 and 13. The latter way of simulating the repository is highly economical in computation times and, therefore, it will be used in performing future parametric studies.

The differences in the results are only apparent, since they are actually local effects of the various sources. Thus, the comparison of both cases, for $z = 20$ m and for $x = y = 0$, shows a difference that is not above 2%.

6. CONCLUSIONS

Results were obtained concerning heat transfer in an isotropic semi-infinite media involving concentrated sources for heat generation. These sources may be considered as generators of temperature boundary layers. This implies that a standard approximation to the finite differences will require severe computational costs, so as to reach a reasonable accuracy.

In order to solve the above difficulty, a technique was considered allowing for an adequate treatment of sudden variations in temperature, which was applied by Emery (1973) and whose general formulation is shown in Appendix A.

As from a computational viewpoint, a distribution of pseudo-sources was applied, as a representation of the concentrated sources. This was combined with the linear version of an implicit algorithm with alternating directions described by Ventura et al. (1978). In the version introduced herewith, the logics may be simply altered in order to consider different types of sources.

The results show an excellent comparison with calibration cases and let us assume their validity in the other cases under consideration.

Thus, a valuable technique has been applied to this type of studies, since it has the following advantages: it has no precision problems connected with the presence of isolated singularities, the number of nodes in the grid is reasonable (of the order of 2,000) for the 3D cases, the data structure leads to tridiagonal schemes, the grid is variable and the technique is unconditionally stable. Finally, disadvantages are related with the time needed to calcu-

late the local analytical solutions; however, for those cases in which we are interested, this has allowed for a quite detailed simulation of the local temperature peaks.

Additionally, this technique is considered particularly important as far as design is concerned, since it also allows for an adequate simulation of the thermal history in terms of the filling of the repository. This is naturally extensive to other applications.

A simple way was also considered for the representation of the repository. This consisted in the integration of finite, plane heat source with a rectangular plant. This representation has shown to be reliable when compared with onedimensional cases and, therefore, it will be employed for the application of the model in cases of interest. However, it only allows for approximately simulating a progressive filling of the repository.

Acknowledgements: The helpful comments of Prof. S.W. Churchill and of the referees, which improved the paper, are gratefully acknowledged by the authors.

APPENDIX A

Consideration of singularities in the finite difference method

The fact that the presence of singularities in an integration domain or in its boundary brings along difficulties for its numerical resolution is wellknown. Fox (1979) summarized the usual techniques for the treatment of these problems. These are generally ad-hoc techniques for different cases.

Emery (1973) introduced another working technique that is valid for the case of finite differences and finite elements, consisting in generating a pseudo source distributed all over the field, representing the influence of the perturbation caused by the isolated "singularity(ies)". The case dealing with finite differences will be the one considered in this appendix in a broader sense than that originally considered by Emery, although based on the same concepts. "Singularities" are very strong variations of the variables of interest. We have preferred to maintain a vague sense in the definition of the same, although, in the case of stationary sources, those are singularities in an analitical sense of the word.

Let us consider that the problem to be solved is the following:

$$\nabla^2 T + Q + \sum_i q_i = 0 \quad \text{A.1}$$

where ∇^2 is the differential operator, T is the temperature, Q are the distributed sources and q_i are the concentrated sources.

Consideration will only be given to the case in which the intensity of the sources is known. Therefore, no constants will have to be assessed and there will be no singularities associated with the boundary conditions.

It will be considered (Emery, 1973) that A.1's solution may be shown as follows:

$$T = \bar{T} + \sum_i S_i \quad \text{A.2}$$

If subindex h serves to indicate a discrete version of the operator, S represents the analytical solution to the problem:

$$\nabla^2 S_i + q_i = 0, \quad \text{A.3}$$

$\bar{T}$ is a temperature showing a smooth variation within the integration domain. Then,

$$\nabla_h^2 T = \nabla_h^2 \bar{T} + \nabla_h^2 S. \quad \text{A.4}$$

Since T is smooth, then $\nabla_h^2 T$ is a good approximation to $\nabla^2 T$; therefore,

$$\nabla_h^2 \bar{T} = \nabla^2 \bar{T} = \nabla^2 T - \nabla^2 S = Q$$

Then,

$$\partial_h T + Q - \partial_h S = 0, \quad \text{A.5}$$

If $Q = 0$ and $\partial \equiv \nabla^2$, then A.5 is the equation considered by Emery for steady problems. When:

$$\partial \equiv \frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \alpha \nabla^2,$$

we are dealing with the case that Emery considered for time-dependent problems (note that the sources may be constant with time).

REFERENCES

1. Althofen, M. K. "Waste package heat transport analysis". Richland, WA (USA), Rockwell International Corp., 1981. RHO-BWI-ST-18. 59 p.
2. Science Applications, Inc., Oak Ridge, TN (USA). "Thermal operations conditions in a national waste terminal storage facility". Sep. 1976. Y/OWI/SUB-76/47950. 49 p.
3. Kays, W.M.; Hossaini-Hoshemi, F. y Bosch, J.S. "Calculations of media temperatures for nuclear sources in geologic repositories by a finite-length line source superposition model (FLLSSM)". Nucl. Eng. Des. vol. 67: 339-347, 1981, n° 3.
4. Beyerlein, S. W. y Clairborne, H. C. "Possibility of multiple temperature maxima in geologic repositories for Spent fuel from nuclear reactors". Tennessee (USA), Oak Ridge National Lab., 1980. ORNL/TM-7024, 42 p.
5. Claiborne, H.C.; Wagner, R.S. and Just, R.A. "Comparison of the results of several heat transfer computer codes when applied to a hypothetical nuclear waste repository". Oak Ridge National Lab., TN (USA), dec. 1979. ORNL/TM-7112. 69 p.
6. Carslaw, H.S. y Jaeger, J.C. "Heat conduction in solids". Oxford, Univ. Press, 1959. 510 p
7. Emery, A. F. "The use of singularity programming in finite-difference and finite-element computations of temperature". ASME Trans. J. Heat Transfer, vol. 95: 344-351, 1973.
8. Fox, L. "Finite differences and singularities in elliptic problems". Chap.3 and "The Stefan problem; moving boundaries in parabolic equations". Chap.20. In: Gladwell, I and Wait, R. (Ed.). "A survey of numerical methods for partial differential equations" Oxford, Clarendon Press, 1970. 424 p.
9. Marchuk, G.I. "Methods of Numerical Mathematics". New York, Springer Verlag, 1975. 316 p.
10. Palacios, E. y otros. "Bases conceptuales para la construcción de un repositorio en la Argentina". International Conference on radioactive waste management. Seattle, may 16-20, 1983. Proceedings series. STI/PUB/649. vol. 3: 179-190.
11. Rae, J. and Robinson, P.C. "NAMMU. Finite element program for coupled heat and groundwater flow problems". Harwell, Atomic Energy Research Establishment, 1979. AERE-R 9610. 38 p.
12. Ratigan, J.L. "Ground water movements around a repository". Stockholm, Kaernbraenslesakerhet, 1977. KBS-TR-54-02. 78 p.
13. Thunvik, R.M. "Hydrothermal conditions around a radioactive waste repository". Stockholm, SKBF/KBS. TR-80-19 Part 1 and Part 2. 1980. TR-82-01 Part 3. 1982.
14. Chan, T.; Cook, N.G.W. and Tsang, C.F. "Theoretical temperature fields for the Stripa Heater Project." Berkeley (USA), Lawrence Berkeley Lab., sep. 1978. LBL-7082. 221 p.

5. Turner, W.D.; Elrod, D.C. y Siman-Tov. I.I. "Heating 5- An IBM 360 Heat Conduction Program". Oak Ridge Nat. Lab., TN (USA). 1977. ORNL/CSD/TM-15.
6. Ventura, M. y Ferreri, J.C. "Evolución temporal de un macizo granítico bajo cargas térmicas generadas por productos de fisión, estudio paramétrico". Buenos Aires, CNEA, 1985. REPO-4. CNEA-NT 24/85. 13 p. y fig.
7. Ventura, M.; Rosso, R y Ferreri, J. C. "Further application of CODIF; three-dimensional scalar transport with second order reaction". Lat. Am. J. Chem. Eng. Appl. Chem. vol. 8: 73-77, 1977.
8. Wang, J.S.Y., Tsang, C.F., Cook, N.G.W., Witherspoon, P.A., "A study of Regional Temperature and Thermohydrologic Effects of an Underground Repository for Nuclear Wastes in Hard Rock", J. of Geophysical Research, 86, 3759-3770, 1981.

LIST OF FIGURE CAPTIONS

Fig. 1. Idealized repository (out of scale):

- 1: Access ramp
- 2: Service area
- 3: Contention galleries
- 4: Containers
- 5: Main galleries

Fig. 2. Domains of integration under consideration.

Fig. 3. Unit cell for the verification of the boundary-condition effect.

Fig. 4. Influence of the boundary conditions upon the results; $x = y = 1.1$ m, $t = 50$ years and $Q = 500$ W; $\odot$ variable grid, $\Delta t \approx 0.25$ year.
 ∇ constant grid (ZP = 300 m), $\square$ constant grid (ZP = 120 m).
 $\Delta x = \Delta y = 1.1$ m; $\Delta z = 15.8$ m. $\square$ $\Delta x = \Delta y = 1.1$ m; $\Delta z = 6.3$ m.

Fig. 5. Comparison of numerical and analytical solutions (radial symmetry case)
— Analytical solution; symbols: numerical results; t in years and $\Delta t = 0.5$ year.

Fig. 6. Comparison with Ratigan's results (1977), decaying source, one-dimensional simulation, $x = y = z = 0$; $\Delta t = 0.5$ year.
— Analytical solution; - - - Ratigan (1977); $\odot$ present; t in years.

Fig. 7. Comparison with Rae and Robinson's results (1979), decaying plane source, one-dimensional simulation, $x = y = 0$; $0 \leq z \leq 1,000$ m; $\Delta t = 0.5$ year.
— analytical solution; $\square$ Rae and Robinson (1979); $\odot$ present; t in years.

Fig. 8. Comparison between calculations made through the use of HEATING 5 code and the present technique.
 $\odot$ HEATING 5; ∇ present (point source); $\square$ present (finite linear source); t in years.

Fig. 9. Comparison with Rae and Robinson's results (1979), constant sources, simulation by means of linear sources, z axis.
— Analytical solution; $\square$ Rae and Robinson; $\odot$ present; t in years.

Fig.10. Comparison with Ratigan's results (1977), decaying sources, z axis.
— Ratigan (1977); $\odot$ present; t in years.

Fig. 11. Temperature profile along the repository, $x = z = 0$; $\Delta t = 0.5$ year.
— Ratigan (1977); $\odot$ present; t in years.

Fig. 12. Temperature time evolution for point A ($x = y = 0$, $z = 1$ m). Simulation by means of linear sources; t in years.

Fig. 13. Temperature time evolution along axis y . $z = 1$ m; $x = 0$; t in years.

Fig. 14. Temperature time evolution in the repository plane. Point A ($x = y = z = 0$). Simulation by means of a continuous plane source. t in years.

Fig. 15. Temperature time evolution in the repository plane along axis y . ($z = x = 0$); t in years.




FIGURA 1






FIGURA 2




FIGURA 3




FIGURA 4




FIGURA 5




FIGURA 6




FIGURA 7





FIGURA 8





FIGURA 8




FIGURA 9




FIGURA 10




FIGURA 11




FIGURA 12




FIGURA 13




FIGURA 14




FIGURA 15

- REP01 - Beninson, D.; Migliori de Beninson, A.
"Radiological impact of radioactive waste management"
- REP02 - Lucero Michaut, H.
"Aplicación de la geoestadística a la resolución de problemas estructurales en macizos rocosos homogéneos"
- REP03 - Ventura, M.; Ferreri, J.C.
"Evolución temporal de un macizo granítico bajo cargas térmicas generadas por productos de fisión"
- REP04 - Ventura, M.; Ferreri, J.C.
"Evolución temporal de un macizo granítico bajo cargas térmicas generadas por productos de fisión (estudio paramétrico)"
- REP05 - Beninson, D.
"Radioactive emissions and radiation exposures resulting from nuclear power production"
- REP06 - Beninson, D.; Lindell, B.
"Application of ICRP recommendations to radioactive waste isolation"
- REP07 - Migliori de Beninson, A.; Cancio, D.
"Impacto radiológico de la gestión de residuos radiactivos del Programa Nuclear Argentino"
- REP08 - Migliori de Beninson, A.; Palacios, E.
"Política en materia de gestión de desechos y su aplicación en Argentina"
- REP09 - Palacios, E. y otros.
"Bases conceptuales para la construcción de un repositorio en la Argentina"
- REP010- Palacios, E. y otros.
"Estudios para la selección del emplazamiento de un repositorio en Argentina"
- REP011- Matar, J.A.; Girardi, J.P.; Sarquis, M.A. M. de
"Aplicación de técnicas geoestadísticas al estudio de una formación granítica destinada a la construcción de un repositorio"
- REP012- Ferreri, J.C.; VENTURA, M.
"Numerical aspects of the study of the regional thermal impact of a radioactive waste repository"
- REP013- Ferreri, J.C.; Caballero, C.H.
"Difusión de calor a partir de una fuente plana rectangular finita"
- REP014- Beninson, D.; González, A.J.
"Radiological protection criteria for radioactive waste repositories"
- REP015- Palacios, E.; Ferreri, J.C.
"Marco conceptual para el desarrollo de los modelos de predicción de los efectos locales de un repositorio de residuos radiactivos de alta actividad"
- REP016- Ferreri, J.C.; Ventura, M.
"Aspectos numéricos del modelado de los efectos locales de un repositorio de residuos radiactivos de alta actividad"
- REP017- Beninson, D.
"Criterios de radioprotección en el caso de eventos disruptivos probabilísticos"
- REP018- Ferreri, J.C.; Grandi, G.
"Models for the study of the local effects produced by a high-level radioactive waste repository"

COMISION NACIONAL DE ENERGIA ATOMICA
Gerencia Protección Radiológica y Seguridad

	Domicilio Postal Postal Address	Télex	Facsimil	Teléfono
Sede Central (Main Headquarters)	Av. Libertador 8250 1429 - Buenos Aires Argentina	21388 PREAT AR	701 - 2431 (Int. 248) (ext.	701 - 2431
Centro Atómico Ezeiza Ezeiza Atomic Center	Casilla de Correo 40 1802 - Aeropuerto Ezeiza Argentina	18079 CAE AR	620 - 0480	620 - 0180